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## Example

The algebra $A=\mathbb{k}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ has a grading given by $A_{i}$ the subspace of homogeneous degree $i$ polynomials.
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## Example

The algebra $A=\mathbb{k}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ has the usual grading by degree. Then $\operatorname{dim}\left(A_{i}\right)=\binom{n+i-1}{i}$, so $h_{A}(z)=\sum_{i \geq 0}\binom{n+i-1}{i} z^{i}=\frac{1}{(1-z)^{n}}$.
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Take $V=\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and $G=S_{n}$. Then the group algebra $\mathbb{k}\left[S_{n}\right]$ acts on $v \in V$ by permuting the indices; e.g., $[(123)](x, y, z)=(z, x, y)$.

A subrepresentation is a subspace $W \subset V$ which remains closed under the action of $\rho(A)$.

## Irreducible Representation
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## Irreducible Representation

A representation $(A, V)$ is irreducible if there does not exist any (proper) subspace $W \subset V$ which is closed under the action of $A$.

## Example

Let $A=\mathbb{k}\left[S_{n}\right]$ be the group algebra of $S_{n}$ and $V=\mathbb{C}^{n}$ be a vector space where $S_{n}$ acts by permutations. Then $\operatorname{Span}\{(1,1,1, \ldots, 1)\}$ is an irreducible subrepresentation.

## Differential Operators

Let $V=\mathbb{k}[x]$. The differential operator acts by $\partial_{x} x^{k}=k x^{k-1}$. In characteristic 0 we can define the algebra of differential operators as a subalgebra in $\operatorname{End}(k[x])$ generated by $x$ and $\partial_{x}$.
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Let $V=\mathbb{k}[x]$. The differential operator acts by $\partial_{x} x^{k}=k x^{k-1}$. In characteristic 0 we can define the algebra of differential operators as a subalgebra in $\operatorname{End}(k[x])$ generated by $x$ and $\partial_{x}$.

But in characteristic $p, \partial_{x}^{p}$ acts by 0 , this is problematic. So to define $k\left[x, \partial_{x}\right]$, use the fact that $\left[\partial_{x}, x\right]=1$. So $k\left[x, \partial_{x}\right]=\mathbb{k}\langle x, y\rangle /([y, x]=1)$.
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The relevant cases are $t=1$ and $t=0$. We will work with $t=0$. We need more abstract definition for characteristic $p$ as for differential operators.
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- By $M_{t, c}$ denote the Verma module $\mathbb{k}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] /\left(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}\right)$ with a standard structure of $H_{t, c}(n)$ representation
- Ideal of symmetric polynomials is a subrepresentation
- Denote by $N_{t, c}$ the quotient by this subrepresentation, which is the baby Verma module
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- The kernel is a subrepresentation
- Define $L_{t, c}=M_{t, c} / \operatorname{ker} B$
- $L_{t, c}=N_{t, c} / \operatorname{ker} B$
- $L$ is an irreducible representation of $H_{t, c}$

To find the Hilbert polynomial of the irreducible quotient $L_{t, c}$ in the polynomial representation of the rational Cherednik algebra of type $A_{n}$, when the characteristic $p \nmid n$.

The singular polynomials generate a subrepresentation so we would like to find them and remove them.

## Goal

To find the smallest $d$ such that degree $d$ polynomials in the simultaneous kernel of the Dunkl operators $D_{y_{i}-y_{j}}$ exist, and find the dimension of this kernel.
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They also proved that $\operatorname{ker} B$ is a maximal proper submodule of the Verma module $M_{t, c}(\tau)$, and that $L_{t, c}(\tau)$ is irreducible.
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## Methods

- We wrote a program in Sage to compute the dimensions of the subspaces for various $p, n$ in $L_{t, c}$
- We compared the dimension to those predicted by Balagovic/Chen for $N_{t, c}$ to find existence of singular polynomials
- We computed these singular polynomials
- We conjectured a pattern and looked to prove it


## Current Progress for $t=0$

For $p \mid n$ :

- The singular polynomials are $x_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$
- The Hilbert polynomial is 1


## Current Progress for $t=0$

For $p \mid n$ :

- The singular polynomials are $x_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$
- The Hilbert polynomial is 1

The case $t=1$ and $p \mid n$ was done by Devadas and Sun.

## Progress for $p=2$ and $t=0$

For $p=2$, the following polynomials are singular for distinct $i, j, k$ :

- $x_{i}^{2}+x_{i} x_{j}+x_{j}^{2}$
- $x_{i} x_{j}+x_{j} x_{k}+x_{k} x_{i}$


## Progress for $p \mid n-1$ and $t=0$

For $p$ odd and distinct $i, j, k, l$, the following polynomials are singular:

- $\left(x_{j}+x_{k}\right)\left(x_{i}-x_{j}-x_{k}\right)$
- $\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)\left(x_{k}-x_{l}\right)$


## Conjectures

- The Hilbert polynomial for $p=2$ and $t=0$ is $h_{L_{0, c}}(z)=1+(n-1) z+(n-1) z^{2}+z^{3}$
- Etingof conjectures that for $n=k p+r$, then $h_{L_{0, c}}(z)=[r]_{z}![p]_{z} Q_{r}(n, z)$ and $\left.h_{L_{1, c}}(z)=[r]_{z^{p}!}!p\right]_{z^{p}}[p]_{z}^{n-1} Q_{r}\left(n, z^{p}\right)$, for $Q_{r}(n, z)=\binom{n-1}{r-1} z^{r+1}+\sum_{i=0}^{r}\binom{n-r-2+i}{i} z^{i}$, $[k]_{z}!=[k]_{z}[k-1]_{z} \cdots[1]_{z}$, and $[w]_{z}=\frac{1-z^{w}}{1-z}$

In the future, we would like to find the Hilbert polynomials for $L_{t, c}$, and the singular polynomials for various $p, n$. We would like to study more cases in $t=0$ and prove irreducibility, then consider the connection between $t=0$ and $t=1$.
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